Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Reports Volume 334

Ayres v . Ayres (Orders)	903 37
insofar as it failed to correct trial testimony of former director of state police forensic laboratory that red substance on towel found in victim's home after	
murder of which petitioner was convicted tested positive for blood when no	
such test had been conducted and when subsequent testing performed years after	
petitioner's criminal trial revealed that red substance was not in fact blood;	
certification to appeal; whether habeas court applied correct standard in determining whether petitioner was entitled to new trial; standard to be applied	
whenever state fails to correct testimony that it knows or should have known to	
be false; whether former director of state police forensic laboratory should have	
known that his testimony was incorrect; whether such testimony is imputed to	
prosecutor; claim that respondent, Commissioner of Correction, failed to establish beyond reasonable doubt that incorrect testimony was immaterial; strength of	
state's case against petitioner, discussed.	
Birch v. State	69
Felony murder; petition for new trial based on claim of newly discovered DNA	
and other evidence; claim that habeas court incorrectly determined that newly discovered DNA evidence did not warrant new trial; whether this court's decision	
in Birch v. Commissioner of Correction (334 Conn. 37), which addressed petition-	
er's appeal from denial of habeas petition and in which court determined that	
petitioner was entitled to new trial, rendered present appeal moot.	
Henning v. Commissioner of Correction	1
Habeas corpus; claim that state deprived petitioner of due process right to fair trial insofar as it failed to correct trial testimony of former director of state police	
forensic laboratory that red substance on towel found in victim's home after	
murder of which petitioner was convicted tested positive for blood when no such test had been conducted and when subsequent testing performed years after	
petitioner's criminal trial revealed that red substance was not in fact blood;	
certification to appeal; whether habeas court applied correct standard in	
determining whether petitioner was entitled to new trial; standard to be applied	
whenever state fails to correct testimony that it knows or should have known to	
be false; whether former director of state police forensic laboratory should have	
known that his testimony was incorrect; whether such testimony is imputed to prosecutor; claim that respondent, Commissioner of Correction, failed to establish	
beyond reasonable doubt that incorrect testimony was immaterial; strength of	
state's case against petitioner, discussed.	
Henning v . State	33
Felony murder; petition for new trial based on claim of newly discovered DNA	
and other evidence; claim that habeas court incorrectly determined that newly	
discovered DNA evidence did not warrant new trial; whether this court's decision	
in Henning v. Commissioner of Correction (334 Conn. 1), which addressed petitioner's appeal from denial of habeas petition and in which court determined	
that petitioner was entitled to new trial, rendered present appeal moot.	
Klein v. Quinnipiac University (Order)	903
Lazar v. Ganim.	73
Elections; primaries; action brought by electors pursuant to statute (§ 9-329a) to	
challenge, inter alia, improprieties in handling of absentee ballots during pri-	
mary election and seeking order directing new primary election; expedited appeal	
pursuant to statute (§ 9-325); whether appeal challenging results of primary and seeking new primary election was moot when general election has already	
occurred; whether trial court correctly determined that plaintiffs lacked standing	
to bring claims pursuant to § 9-329a (a) (1); whether trial court applied proper	
standard in determining whether plaintiff was entitled to new primary election.	
Reale v . Rhode Island (Order)	901
State v. Alexis (Order)	904
State # Cane (Urder)	901

Page 110	CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL	December 10, 2019
State v. Crewe (Orde	ler)	901
State v. Gomes (Ord	$\operatorname{der})$	902
State v. Sentementes	s (Order)	902
Wells Fargo Bank, N	N.A. v. Magana (Order)	904